and Medical Leave Act, I want to insert behind that statement an explanation explaining the difference.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman can insert that information as a revision in extension of those remarks.

The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized.

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Chairman, I said that the words were reversed. If we look in the one, it says unduly first, and then look in the other, it says unduly second. So I said the words are reversed.

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Chairman, I am not disputing what he said. I am asking to insert this in the RECORD.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. BECERRA].

(Mr. BECERRA asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

## □ 1345

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Chairman, the proponents of this bill, H.R. 1, argue that employees have choice, and that is why we should pass this bill. We are further admonished that we should read this 2-page bill.

Mr. Chairman, I read the bill. An employee has an opportunity to earn comp time; an employee is given flexibility in the workplace if, if, the employer chooses; if the employer chooses, not the employee.

Page 3, paragraph 2, conditions: Employer decides who gets comp time, not the employee. An employer can offer one employee comp time and an employee that lives and works under the same circumstances can be denied comp time. An employee can be offered comp time 1 day, and on another occasion under the same circumstances can be denied comp time. The employer chooses.

Page 4, paragraph B, compensation date: An employer has the right to hold an employee's accrued comp time for up to 1 full year before disbursing it to that employee.

Page 5, line 11, the policy: An employer may withdraw his agreement in writing with an employee to offer comp time when he chooses to do so.

So you could start off with some comp time, but if the employer decides, no, I wish to change my mind, the employer has the right to do that.

Page 7, paragraph A, general rule, listen to this. I do not know if it was meant to be this way, but an employee cannot cash out his or her money if he or she leaves.

Under the way the bill is written, the language, it appears to say that the employer can actually give you comp time at the same rate that you have earned that time. So if you earn \$10 an hour and you have 200 hours of earned comp time, that is about 25 days of paid comp time, it could take up to 25

days for you to collect your money that you earned, that is in comp time, even after you have left that employer. That is the way the bill reads. It seems to say that.

Mr. Chairman, I read the bill. It is not a good bill. Please defeat this bill. Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 15 seconds.

Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from California [Mr. BECERRA] should have gone on and read section E, which says, an employee may withdraw an agreement described in paragraph 2(b) at any time, an employee.

Also, I say to my colleague, in the public sector at the present time the same language applies to an employer offering time. Why does somebody not ask to have an amendment to eliminate public employees from comp time? If this law is so bad, let us not make public employees suffer any longer.

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. WYNN].

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the ranking member for yielding me this time.

Mr. Chairman, the key issue here in reality is that private employees are not on an equal footing with private employers. That is why they call the employer the boss. The fact of the matter is that secretaries, construction workers, textile workers are vulnerable to the employer's decision regarding comp time. Whether they want comp time or not, it becomes abundantly clear that if you want your job, you better take the comp time.

Studies have indicated that as much as 64 percent of the working population prefers overtime pay to comp time, because overtime pay sends kids to college and overtime pay helps you buy a house.

Employees in the first instance cannot decide whether they want comp time because the employer will make that decision and make it clear.

Second, they cannot decide whether they want to use the comp time, because the employer can decide, well, you will unduly disrupt my business. So all of those stories you heard about how people can go to their school plays and they can have time with their children and their sick relatives really does not apply if the employer says you cannot have it. We prefer real time.

The fact of the matter is that overtime pay is in your hands. You can spend it or not spend it. Comp time is in the boss's hands. He can tell you whether you can spend it and when you can spend it, and that is the fundamental problem. They go on to say, we have all of these employer protections. Well, you do not really have protections, because the Labor Department is already overburdened trying to enforce the minimum wage and fair labor standards. Who is going to go out and enforce all of these new laws? I do not think that that is a realistic proposal.

The fact of the matter is many of these companies are undercapitalized. When they go under, your comp time goes under. Many of these companies are fly-by-night. When they leave, your comp time leaves. The problem is that the employee cannot be adequately protected. The Labor Department does not have the adequate resources to take on these additional responsibilities.

We have a good system now that works, that protects employees and provides them with the thing they need, and that is a paycheck so that moderate income families can have additional resources. We should not compromise this with this radical comp time proposal.

The CHAIRMAN. The Committee will rise informally in order that the House may receive a message.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GIBBONS) assumed the chair.

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 924. An act to amend title 18, United States Code, to give further assurance to the right of victims of crime to attend and observe the trials of those accused of the crime.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed a joint resolution of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

S.J. Res. 22. Joint resolution to express the sense of the Congress concerning the application by the Attorney General for the appointment of an independent counsel to investigate allegations of illegal fundraising in the 1996 Presidential election campaign.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 104–264, the Chair, on behalf of the majority leader, appoints the following individuals to the National Civil Aviation Review Commission:

The Honorable LARRY PRESSLER, of Washington, DC; and Richard E. Smith, Jr., of Mississippi.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 93-415, as amended by Public Law 102-586, the Chair, on behalf of the Democratic leader, announces the appointment of Dr. Larry K. Brendtro, of South Dakota, to serve a 2-year term on the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Committee will resume its sitting.

## WORKING FAMILIES FLEXIBILITY ACT OF 1997

The Committee resumed its sitting. Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 5 seconds just to merely say that even under the worst circumstances, the employee can cash out and walk away.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. DOOLEY].